



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/696,139

10/29/2003

Woogeun Rhee

YOR920030387US1

5513

35526

7590

02/23/2005

DUKE. W. YEE

YEE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

P.O. BOX 802333

DALLAS, TX 75380

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, LINH M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2816

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/696,139	Applicant(s) RHEE ET AL	
	Examiner Linh M. Nguyen	Art Unit 2816	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Linh M. Nguyen. (3) _____
 (2) Barry Jones. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 February 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed:
none.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A teleconference was held as requested by the Applicants' representative to place the emphasis on the finite state machine of the invention that it is indeed an analog based finite state machine and not a digital state machine as discussed in the invention background. It was suggested by the examiner to make a change on page 2 of the specification to further point out this distinction.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required